Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/675,653	KARAOGUZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
La Juania N. Mouzon	2153	

	Juania N. Mouzon	2133		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repli application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (v for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:	es: (1) an amendment, affidavit with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b) Mathematical The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Commonths of the Final Rejection. See MPEP 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on. LED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on w have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on and the corresponding amount o ened statutory period for reply origir	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance	ce with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but p	prior to the date of filing a brief, w	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consider	eration and/or search (see NOT	E below);		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for	orm for appeal by materially red	ucing or simplifying th	ne issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corre	esponding number of finally reje	cted claims		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	soperium g mumber or imany reje	otou olamio.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S	See attached Notice of Non-Con	npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(-		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowa		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
non-allowable claim(s).	,	,	J	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) very how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but bef because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suf was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of	the status of the claims after en	try is below or attach	ed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe	es NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTC13. ☐ Other:	D/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/Glenton B. Burgess/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2153				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The applicant agrues, "Therefore, Kikinis does not disclose "comparing by said at least one media processing system, said one or more of said newly available media, data and service with data in a media profile associated with said at least one media processing system," as recited by the Applicant in independent claim 1 (remarks, pg. 15-16)." It is clearly taught in Kikinis's Col. 4 line(s) 10-24, that paying clients (i.e. subscribers) sets up a profile, that includes specify topics of their particular interest, at the time of subscription. The profile is associated with the system (file server) that sends the file (clipping) to the client (subscriber). Likewise, when the system (file server) detects that a new file (clipping) has become available, it compares the new file (clipping) with the client's (suscriber's) profile, checking to see if the client (subscriber) has subscribed to that category, then sending a notification (email) to the client (subscriber) if they match. The client (subscriber) then request to download the file.